## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

YALOBUSHA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, ET AL.

PLAINTIFFS

versus

Civil Action No. 3:19-cv-43-GHD-JMV

ENPRO INDUSTRIES, INC., ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

L.L.D., LLC; et al.

**PLAINTIFFS** 

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:19-cv-00046-GHD-JMV

ENPRO INDUSTRIES, INC; SAMUEL G. WILLIAMSON; et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

These cases are before the court *sua sponte* for consolidation for discovery purposes and motion practice.<sup>1</sup> These cases were removed simultaneously; involve substantially the same defendants, plaintiff's attorneys, and defense attorneys; and both actions arise from the same incident and likely involve some common questions of law and fact.

**THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED** these cases are hereby consolidated for the purposes of discovery and motion practice.

**SO ORDERED** this the 31<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2019.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "A motion to consolidate is not required; the court may invoke Rule 42(a) *sua sponte*." *Miller v. U.S. Postal Serv.*, 729 F.2d 1033, 1036 (5th Cir. 1984); *see also Am. Home Assur. Co. v. Roxco*, Ltd., 81 F. Supp. 2d 674, 681 (S.D. Miss. 1999).